CONDICIONS AMBIENTALS DETERMINANTS DELS PROCESSOS DE PRECIPITACIONS INTENSES A L'ÀREA MEDITERRÀNIA

Curs d'Anàlisi del Risc d'Inundació a Cursos Mediterranis III
(Universitat de les Illes Balears, Setembre 2004)

Romu Romero (Mòdul 1.3.1)

SOME ILLUSTRATIVE WORDS

"The inhabitants of the countries of the Mediterranean basin are quite aware of the frequent occurrence of severe weather in the Mediterranean region, such as heavy rainfall and strong winds associated with extreme weather events. Rainfalls of over 200 mm, and in some extreme cases, in excess of 800 mm, in 24 hours have been known to occur from time to time, while sustained wind speeds in excess of 100 km/h have been recorded in connection with events such as the Mistral, Tramontane, Ethesian and the Bora. As a result of these phenomena, significant losses in life and property are frequently reported in many countries. We recall some of the events which made headline news in the last few years. These include the exceptional and extensive heavy rains which affected wide parts of Egypt, including the Sinai Peninsula, in November 1994. In that event, more than 500 people lost their lives and large areas were inundated; even the famous ancient tombs of Luxor were menaced by flood surges. Fifty people died when a bridge collapsed after heavy rains in northern Algeria in October 1995. Torrential cloudbursts, reported to be the worst in 80 years at some locations, caused severe, widespread flooding and landslides in Southeast France, Corsica and north-western Italy during a four-day period in early November 1994. Over 50 lives were lost and thousands were left homeless in France, while the floods in Italy were even worse than the notorious event of November 1951 when the River Po overflowed its banks. Economic losses in northern Italy were reported at US $9 billion. During 1996 as a whole, several periods of above normal precipitation affected the Mediterranean basin. The drought-prone regions of southern Spain and northern Morocco received annual precipitation between 700 to 900 mm above normal, while 250 to 750 mm above normal were received in other areas on both sides of the western half of Mediterranean. Despite the benefits of the rainfall, excessive amounts resulted in some deaths, dislocation of people and significant crop damage. Notable example is the disastrous flash flood which caused significant loss of life at a camp site in Spain in August last year."

(Prof. Obasi, Secretary-General WMO, *Opening address at the INM/WMO International Symposium on Cyclones and Hazardous Weather in the Mediterranean*, Palma de Mallorca, Spain, 14 April 1997)

MEDITERRANEAN CYCLONES / HIGH IMPACT WEATHER

Specific ideas (heavy rain) - 1

- Most frequent in late summer and autumn: Role of the ***Mediterranean air-mass*** (warm and wet at low levels – convective instability).
- Also needed a ***mechanism*** to force air ***ascent*** and a ***feeding current*** of warm and wet air towards the area of sustained heavy rain.
- Role of a ***Mediterranean low, not necessarily deep and strong***, by organizing differentiated air currents and low-level frontal boundaries (optimum area to reach and/or release the convective instability at the tip of the warm-wet current, where intersecting a thermal-humidity boundary). Upper-level forcing is not a critical ingredient.
- Or a ***mountain barrier*** (notice the Mediterranean basin) instead of the boundary to force the ascent of the warm-wet current. Commonly, both factors in combination.

Specific ideas (heavy rain) - 2

- A near ***cyclonic centre*** in found in more than ***70%*** of the cases of heavy rain in the western Mediterranean region, usually located in a position compatible with the previous conceptual model.
- Even in cases of deep and self-organized convection (***MCS***), the close presence of a cyclone centre is detected in about ***65%*** of the cases.
- But ***some Mediterranean heavy rain*** events depart from the previous conceptual model: Strong and deep upward forcing, that is, dynamically driven cases usually related to ***intense cyclogenesis*** (continuous stratiform rain, although convective instability is easily reached and released – embedded convection). Symmetric instability and slantwise convection could also play a role.

Specific ideas (cyclones) - 1

- Mediterranean area: ***highest concentration*** of true cyclogenesis in the world, at least in winter. Some events reaching the category of "meteorological bombs".
- ***Preferential areas***: Genoa region, Cyprus and Aegean region, Adriatic, Palos-Algerian sea, Catalonian-Balearic sea and gulf of Lyon. Also, shallow thermal lows over the Iberian-African plateau.
- ***High concentration of cyclones*** as a result of the particular geography of the Mediterranean region: Very frequent lee warm primary depressions and low-level positive PV banners ready to interact with upper-level PV positive anomalies when moving over the region (explanation ***based on PV thinking***)

Specific ideas (cyclones) - 2

- Role of ***latent heat release*** for the spin-up and/or sustenance of the cyclone (considered as a ***secondary*** effect for orographic cyclogenesis events).
- ***However***, some cyclones owe their source of energy to the great amount of latent heat released in big convective cloud clusters (CISK, air-sea interaction): ***Quasi-tropical cyclones***.
- ***And other influences*** of latent heat release, not necessarily linked to convection (e.g., enhanced baroclinic instability in saturated air, etc.).
- The most likely scenario for any given ***cyclone is a mixture*** of physical processes such as those described ***!!!***

Example (A): Gandía flood:

MCS (33 h, 200 km diameter)
1000 mm / 36 h





Type (A): The cyclone (that can be shallow; e.g. orographic origin) organises the inflow of moist and warm Mediterranean air



Example (B): Sardinian cyclone:

>100 mm in 3 hrs
118 km/h wind gust
9 m highest waves



Type (B): deep cyclone and hazardous weather are two aspects of the same evolution (baroclinic origin)

Example (C): Quasi-tropical cyclone:

115 km/h wind gust
27 mm/ 1 h



Type (C): cyclone driven by latent heat release (as a result of previous heavy rain)



DATA BASE OF MEDITERRANEAN CYCLONES

Thanks to my colleagues from the INM center in the Balearics !!!



Data base of cyclones

The methodology already implemented permits:

Objective and automatic detection of cyclones
+
Dynamical description

For example:

Detection

- Smoothed SLP: Cressman filter with a radius of influence of 200 km
- Relative minimum of SLP
- Pressure gradient ≥ 0.5 hPa/100 km in at least 6 of the 8 main directions

Tracking

- Cyclone detected on successive analysis times within elliptical area defined by the average wind at 700 hPa (steering level)

Domain

- Region of positive geostrophic vorticity around the cyclone center. This defines its mean radius (has to be ≥ 100 km)



Vertical extension and tilting

- 925, 850, 700, 500 and 300 hPa
- Similar detection procedure, looking for geopotential minimum (closed depression), or vorticity maximum (open wave)

Vertical profiles of cyclone attributes



Profile of radius

Profile of circulation: **A** x ς

Other profiles

Thermal profile: Δ**T**

Profile of relative humidity

COMPARISON BETWEEN WEST AND EAST MEDITERRANEAN BASINS

Using ECMWF-0.5^0 analyses (00, 06, 12 and 18 UTC)

June 1998-May 2001 (only surface cyclones and annual analysis)



Annual mean frequency of detected cyclonic centres
(2^0x2^0 boxes, from smoothed -left- and non smoothed -right- SLP field)

Mean number of cyclones	*Smoothed*	*Non smoothed*
East Zone	353	2248
West Zone	437	2910



First detection mean frequency for cyclones with lifetime > 6 h
(2^0x2^0 boxes, from smoothed -left- and non smoothed -right- SLP field)



Mean track density for cyclones moving a total distance > 250 km
(2^0x2^0 boxes, from smoothed -left- and non smoothed -right- SLP field)



	Radius (km)	*Area* (km^2)	*Vorticity* (x10^{-6}s^{-1})	*Circulation* (x10^{7}m^{2}s^{-1})	*Displacement* (km)	*Lifetime* (h)
East Zone	426.6	603558.9	67.7	4.0	269.4	12.5
West Zone	394.2	512588.0	67.4	3.4	264.1	12.5

WESTERN MEDITERRANEAN CYCLONES

Using HIRLAM-INM-0.5^0 analyses (00, 06, 12 and 18 UTC)

June 1995-May 2002 (3D structure and seasonal analysis)

NUMBER OF CYCLONES

Total



Summer



Winter



Mean seasonal vertical profiles of cyclone attributes:
Summer (red), Autumn (purple), Winter (blue) and Spring (green)



Shalow (1000-925 hPa) **Deep (1000-300 hPa)**



	Summer	*Autumn*	*Winter*	*Spring*	*G Genoa*	*Palos*	*Iberian*	*Algeria*
Shallow	**70.5**	**43.6**	**31.8**	**46.3**	**41.9**	**79.6**	**80.7**	**79.0**
Medium	**9.5**	**12.1**	**11.7**	**12.1**	**14.6**	**6.0**	**8.4**	**9.5**
Deep	**20.0**	**44.3**	**56.5**	**41.6**	**43.5**	**14.4**	**10.9**	**11.5**

Mean vertical profiles of cyclone attributes for different regions:
Gulf of Genoa (red), Palos (purple), Iberian Peninsula (blue) and Algeria (green)



HEAVY RAIN AND CYCLONES IN THE WESTERN MEDITERRANEAN

Using HIRLAM-INM-0.5^{0} analyses (00, 06, 12 and 18 UTC)

June 1995-November 1996 (simultaneity with heavy rain)

Heavy Rain Database

- 28 “territorial units” (departments, provinces or islands).
- Heavy Rain threshold >= 60 mm/24h.
- GTS and non-GTS data.
- Period: from June 95 to November 96.

Heavy rain event: if the threshold was surpassed in at least one station of any “territorial unit”:

(160 days / 300 events)



66: Perpignan
11: Carcasone
34: Montpellier
30: Nimes
48: Mende
13: Marseille
84: Avignon
83: Toulon
05: Embrum
04: Digne
06: Nice
20: Corsica

TI: Ticino
PI: Piamonte
LI: Liguria
LO: Lombardia
SA: Sardinia
LL: Lleida
GI: Girona
BA: Barcelona
CS: Castellón
VC: Valencia
AL: Alicante
MU: Murcia
MH: Minorca
PM: Mallorca
IB: Ibiza

Simultaneity Heavy Rain Event-Cyclone

For each Heavy Rain Event (60 mm/24 h) in "regional unit" T for day D we look for the closest cyclone for day D at 06, 12 and 18 UTC and at 00 UTC for day D+1.



Due to the small number of events per "territorial unit" the results were grouped into **8 regions**:





Frequency (%) of cyclonic centres within a 600 km radius
for the 8 regions: heavy rain events and random sample of events

Simultaneity Cyclone-Heavy Rain


East-Rhône


West-Rhône


Corsica


North Italy and Ticino

Simultaneity Cyclone-Heavy Rain



Catalonia



Balearic Islands



Valencia



Sardinia

With a non-smoothed cyclone database, for the Valencian C. and Murcia region, in more than 90% of heavy rain events a cyclone is closer than 600 km. The same with a random sample of events, but in a different location:





Frequency (%) of closest cyclonic centres
for heavy rain events (left) and a random sample events (right)

Tridimensional structure of cyclones



Frequency (%) of cyclone depth for all the closest cyclones:
heavy rain events and a random sample of events

Tridimensional structure of cyclones



PRECIPITATION CLIMATOLOGY IN MEDITERRANEAN SPAIN

Trying to summarize the complex reality …



DAILY RAINFALL DATA BASE

- Homogeneous and complete series
- 410 rain gauges
- 30 years (1964-1993)

YEARLY MEAN PRECIPITATION (3rd decade)



SEASONAL MEAN PRECIPITATION (3rd decade)



DAYS WITH PRECIPITATION, ≥ 1 mm (3rd decade)



DAYS WITH EXTREME RAINFALL, ≥ 100 mm (3rd decade)



DAYS WITH EXTREME RAINFALL, ≥ 100 mm (seasons of 3rd decade)



MEAN RATE OF DAILY RAINFALLS (3rd decade)



MEAN RATE OF DAILY RAINFALLS (seasons of 3rd decade)



RECURRENCE INTERVALS, 100 mm events (from 3rd decade)



RECURRENCE INTERVALS, 200 mm events (from 3rd decade)



MEAN DURATION OF WET EPISODES (3rd decade)



MEAN DURATION OF WET EPISODES (seasons of 3rd decade)



MEAN DURATION OF DRY EPISODES (3rd decade)



MEAN DURATION OF DRY EPISODES (seasons of 3rd decade)



DAILY RAINFALL PATTERNS

			Win	*Spr*	*Sum*	*Aut*
Significant *rainfalls*	*5 % - 5 mm*	*3941 days*	*(30.0%*	*29.6%*	*13.6%*	*26.8%)*
Torrential *rainfalls*	*2 % - 50 mm*	*449 days*	*(35.2%*	*14.9%*	*5.1%*	*44.8%)*




(mm)
30
27
24
21
18
15
12
9
6
3
S1 (551)
Win
S2 (492)
Win
S3 (388)
Win
S4 (211)
Spr
S5 (259)
Spr
S6 (294)
Win-Aut
S7 (296)
Spr-Aut
S8 (385)
Spr-Sum
S9 (368)
Spr-Sum
S10 (401)
Aut
S11 (296)
Win-Aut


NUMBER OF CASES
250
225
200
175
150
125
100
75
50
25
0
WINTER
SPRING
SUMMER
AUTUMN
Pattern Groups
S1
S2
S3
S4
S5
S6
S7
S8
S9
S10
S11

Table II. Probabilities (%) of getting the 11 significant rainfall PGs or an insignificant day (columns), conditioned to having the 11 PGs or an insignificant day (rows) on the previous day

	S1	S2	S3	S4	S5	S6	S7	S8	S9	S10	S11	INSIG
S1	21.6	26.5	8.0	3.6	3.8	1.8	3.6	3.1	9.3	2.4	1.3	15.1
S2	10.6	26.2	12.6	10.0	4.7	3.7	3.3	5.7	6.5	3.7	3.7	9.6
S3	7.0	6.2	24.7	3.4	2.8	1.0	2.3	3.6	4.1	5.9	5.2	33.8
S4	8.1	8.1	6.2	10.9	12.8	4.7	1.9	2.8	2.4	4.3	2.8	35.1
S5	3.9	4.6	1.9	11.6	18.5	11.6	9.7	3.1	2.7	3.5	2.7	26.3
S6	2.0	2.4	1.7	3.4	8.2	28.2	7.8	3.7	0.7	6.1	5.1	30.6
S7	3.7	4.1	4.4	2.7	4.4	8.8	19.9	13.9	5.4	6.4	4.1	22.3
S8	5.7	3.9	2.3	1.8	3.1	3.9	4.9	16.6	3.6	10.4	6.5	37.1
S9	5.7	3.8	6.3	2.7	0.8	1.6	7.3	11.4	15.2	4.9	1.9	38.3
S10	3.2	2.5	5.2	1.5	0.2	3.0	3.0	4.5	1.7	19.2	10.2	45.6
S11	3.0	4.1	3.4	1.4	2.4	4.4	3.4	3.4	0.3	12.8	14.5	47.0
INSIG	3.5	1.3	1.2	0.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.8	2.3	1.7	1.4	83.4





DAILY RAINFALL AFFINITY AREAS

Win Spr Sum Aut

Significant *rainfalls* ═══ *5 % - 5 mm* ⟹ *3941 days (30.0% 29.6% 13.6% 26.8%)*



S-mode
(site-by-site)
correlation matrix

↓

Principal Components Analysis
PCA

↓

Cluster Analysis (k means)
CA

→ *Oblimin rotation of PCs*

Overlapping regionalization (12 regions)

Hard regionalization
(6, 12, 17 and 20 regions guided by Pseudo-F test)

$pseudo - F$ variable is formulated as:

$$pseudo - F = \frac{A}{W}\frac{n-k}{k-1}, \tag{1}$$

where A and W are the among- and within-cluster sum of squares, respectively, n is the number of objects (410 stations), and k is the number of clusters.



Figure 7. *Pseudo-F* test for several solutions of the hard regionalization





Figure 4. Selected examples of graphical pairwise plots for unrotated PCs



Figure 5. As in Figure 4 but for Oblimin-rotated PCs




Daily rainfall affinity areas
for the hard regionalization
(12 regions)
Daily rainfall affinity areas
for the overlapping regionalization
(threshold PC loading is 0.25)


Daily rainfall affinity areas
for the hard regionalization
(6 regions)
Daily rainfall affinity areas
for the hard regionalization
(20 regions)

A NATURAL QUESTION EMERGING FROM THESE RESULTS …

WHICH ARE THE ATMOSPHERIC CIRCULATION PATTERNS ASSOCIATED WITH SIGNIFICANT DAILY RAINFALL IN THE SPANISH MEDITERRANEAN AREA ???

ATMOSPHERIC CIRCULATION AND PRECIPITATION IN MEDITERRANEAN SPAIN

Trying to find the cause-effect statistical relationship …



DAILY RAINFALL DATA BASE

- Homogeneous and complete series
- 410 rain gauges
- 30 years (1964-1993)

CLASSIFICATION RAINFALL PATTERNS (RPs)

Win Spr Sum Aut

Significant rainfalls ═══ *5 % - 5 mm* ⟹ *3941 days (30.0% 29.6% 13.6% 26.8%)*
1964-93

T-mode
(day-by-day)
correlation matrix

↓

Principal Components Analysis
PCA

↓

Cluster Analysis (k-means)
CA



11 RPs



CLASSIFICATION ATMOSPHERIC PATTERNS (APs)

ECMWF analyses on significant days (1984-93) ⟹ *1275 days*

Geographical window 33.75N-45.75N 11.25W-6.00E ⟹ *408 grid points*

Classification based on geopotential height at 500 and 925 hPa



T-mode
(day-by-day)
correlation matrix

↓

Principal Components Analysis
PCA

↓

Cluster Analysis (k-means)
CA

19 APs

Table II. Percentage frequency of the 11 daily RPs within the 19 APs (in bold, percentages greater than 15%) and seasonal distribution of the APs (in bold, percentages greater than 30%)

Atmospheric pattern	Number of days	RP1	RP2	RP3	RP4	RP5	RP6	RP7	RPO	RP9	RP10	RP11	Winter	Spring	Summer	Autumn
AP1	51	**49.0**	**33.3**	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	5.9	2.0	0.0	1.9	**43.1**	17.6	5.9	**33.4**
AP2	71	**46.5**	**23.9**	**15.5**	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	2.8	1.4	4.2	4.3	**54.9**	18.3	1.4	25.4
AP3	84	**35.7**	**36.9**	0.0	1.2	4.8	1.2	8.3	8.3	2.4	0.0	1.2	20.2	19.0	6.0	**54.8**
AP4	105	**30.5**	**36.2**	4.8	0.0	0.0	1.0	8.6	2.9	12.4	1.9	1.7	25.7	29.5	3.8	**41.0**
AP5	58	**22.4**	**25.9**	0.0	12.1	**15.5**	5.2	8.6	0.0	6.9	1.7	1.7	25.9	**36.2**	0.0	**37.9**
AP6	78	**17.9**	**15.4**	5.1	7.7	**21.8**	9.0	**17.9**	3.8	0.0	0.0	1.4	29.5	**33.3**	9.0	28.2
AP7	100	13.0	9.0	**25.0**	4.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	14.0	**25.0**	2.0	1.0	22.0	**35.0**	8.0	**35.0**
AP8	76	2.6	13.2	**15.8**	1.3	3.9	0.0	10.5	**23.7**	**21.1**	6.6	1.3	7.9	**42.1**	23.7	26.3
AP9	86	2.3	8.1	**41.9**	3.5	0.0	1.2	2.3	**16.3**	4.7	10.5	9.2	**45.3**	29.1	9.3	16.3
AP10	28	3.6	10.7	0.0	0.0	10.7	14.3	14.3	**28.6**	3.6	7.1	7.1	**46.4**	10.7	0.0	**42.9**
AP11	70	1.4	1.4	4.3	2.9	4.3	11.4	11.4	**30.0**	**20.0**	7.1	5.8	5.7	**30.0**	**41.4**	22.9
AP12	23	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.7	4.3	**69.6**	0.0	4.3	0.0	8.7	4.4	**47.8**	17.4	0.0	**34.8**
AP13	66	1.5	3.0	0.0	3.0	**28.8**	**40.9**	12.1	4.5	1.5	4.5	0.2	**53.0**	19.7	3.0	24.3
AP14	56	3.6	3.6	8.9	3.6	**17.9**	**16.1**	**21.4**	3.6	14.3	5.4	1.6	8.9	**35.7**	**33.9**	21.5
AP15	25	4.0	8.0	0.0	**16.0**	**20.0**	4.0	**24.0**	0.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	16.0	**32.0**	12.0	**40.0**
AP16	73	4.1	4.1	0.0	9.6	**16.4**	8.2	6.8	**20.5**	0.0	**17.8**	12.5	12.3	28.8	**38.4**	20.5
AP17	52	0.0	3.8	0.0	5.8	9.6	**36.5**	0.0	1.9	0.0	**19.2**	**23.2**	**30.8**	23.1	15.4	**30.7**
AP18	86	2.3	2.3	8.1	0.0	4.7	7.0	2.3	**17.4**	2.3	**24.4**	**29.2**	26.7	**41.9**	8.1	23.3
AP19	87	0.0	1.1	1.1	4.6	1.1	5.7	1.1	10.3	1.1	**37.9**	**36.0**	**34.5**	**40.2**	4.6	20.7
Total	1275	13.7	13.6	8.5	3.8	7.8	9.1	7.5	10.9	7.5	9.1	8.3	28.2	29.9	12.1	29.8

CLEAR ASSOCIATION





AP1

Win 43.1% - Aut 33.4%
Heavy 15.7%



AP2

Win 54.9%
Heavy 11.3%



AP3

Aut 54.8%
Heavy 25.0%





AP4

Aut 41.0%
Heavy 15.2%





AP5

Aut 37.9% - *Spr* 36.2%
Heavy 17.2%



AP6

Spr 33.3%
Heavy 23.1%



AP7

Spr 35.0% - *Aut* 35.0%
Heavy 2.0%





AP8

Spr 42.1%
Heavy 7.9%





AP9

Win 45.3%
Heavy 3.5%





AP10

Win 46.4% - Aut 42.9%
Heavy 10.7%





AP11

Sum 41.4% - Spr 30.0%
Heavy 0.0%





AP12

Win 47.8% - Aut 34.8%
Heavy 21.7%





AP13

Win 53.0%
Heavy 37.9%





AP14

Spr 35.7% - *Sum* 33.9%
Heavy 19.6%





AP15

Aut 40.0% - *Spr* 32.0%
Heavy 32.0%



AP16

Sum *38.4%*
Heavy *0.0%*



AP17

Win *30.8%* ***- Aut*** *30.7%*
Heavy *13.5%*





AP18

Spr 41.9%
Heavy 4.7%





AP19

Spr 40.2% - Win 34.5%
Heavy 11.5%

Practical exercise 1

Classification of synoptic meteorological situations on selected flash-flood days

SPECIFIC INGREDIENTS IN MEDITERRANEAN FLASH-FLOOD EVENTS

The great value of mesoscale numerical modeling …

THE STUDY OF CYCLONES

- Observations (limited in number, space and time)
- Theory (requires simplifications)
- Experimentation (***Numerical Modeling***)



- ***Multiscale*** perspective of cyclone structure



- Realistic ***physical processes*** parameterized




FORECAST TIME: 24 h 00 UTC Sun, 11 Nov 2001
BCOLOR
m
6020
5960
5900
5840
5780
5720
5660
5600
5540
5480
5420
5360
5300
SLINE
hPa
FORECAST TIME: 24 h 00 UTC Sun, 11 Nov 2001
BCOLOR
°C
34
30
26
22
18
14
10
6
2
-2
-6
-10
-14
VECTOR
15 m/s
FORECAST TIME: 24 h 00 UTC Sun, 11 Nov 2001
FCOLOR
mm
200
150
125
100
75
50
25
10
5
1
FORECAST TIME: 24 h 00 UTC Sun, 11 Nov 2001
FCOLOR
m/s
27
25
23
21
19
17
15
13
11
9
7
5
VECTOR
15 m/s
H+00 H+03 H+06 H+09 H+12 H+15 H+18 H+21 H+24 H+27 H+30 H+33 H+36 H+39 H+42 H+45 H+48
Animate


FORECAST TIME: 24 h 00 UTC Sun, 11 Nov 2001
BCOLOR
°C
48
42
36
30
24
18
12
6
0
-6
-12
-18
SLINE
°C
FORECAST TIME: 24 h 00 UTC Sun, 11 Nov 2001
BCOLOR
%
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
SLINE
%
FORECAST TIME: 24 h 00 UTC Sun, 11 Nov 2001
FCOLOR
mm
200
150
125
100
75
50
25
10
5
1
FORECAST TIME: 24 h 00 UTC Sun, 11 Nov 2001
FCOLOR
m/s
27
25
23
21
19
17
15
13
11
9
7
5
VECTOR
15 m/s
H+00 H+03 H+06 H+09 H+12 H+15 H+18 H+21 H+24 H+27 H+30 H+33 H+36 H+39 H+42 H+45 H+48
Animate

Practical exercise 2

Investigation of the specific ingredients during the November 2001 superstorm based on a numerical simulation